Geomatics correction model for GPS data using RTK-DGPS survey
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Abstract
Determination of the sites of geographical coordinates with high accuracy and in short time is very important in many applications, including: air and sea navigation, and in the uses geodetic surveys. Today, the Global Positioning System (GPS) plays an important role in performing this task. The datum used for GPS positioning is called World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84). It consists of a three-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system and an associated ellipsoid so that WGS84 positions describe coordinates as latitude, longitude and ellipsoid height (h) coordinates, with respect to the center of mass of the Earth. This study develops a mathematical model for geomatic measurement correction for ellipsoidal heights (h) between two different receivers of different accuracies (i.e. high and low). The results are examined using statistical analysis for the accuracy and reliability of the computed positions. The receivers used in this study were, the Topcon HiPer-II and the Garmin eTrex vista. The first receiver uses Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) signals on the L1 and L2 frequencies of both the GPS and GLONASS satellite navigation systems, while the second receiver was the Garmin eTrex vista.
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Introduction

The Global Positioning System (GPS) is a satellite-based radio positioning system developed by the United States Department Of Defense (DOD). The Global Positioning System (GPS) is comprised of three segments: satellite constellation, ground control/monitoring network, and user receiving equipment [1]. The control segment consists of a system of tracking stations located around the world. The master control facility is located at Falcon Air Force Base in Colorado. The monitor stations measure signals from the Space Vehicles (SV) which were incorporated into orbital models for each satellite. The models compute orbital data and satellite clock corrections for each satellite. The master control station uploads ephemeris and clock data to the space vehicles. The satellites then send subsets of the orbital ephemeris data to GPS receivers through radio signals [2].

The user segment consists of all the users of the GPS signals, including both civilian and military users. With a GPS receiver connected to a GPS antenna, a user can receive the GPS signals, GPS receiver’s measure and convert SV signal into position, velocity and time. The space segment consists of at least 24 nominal satellites which are distributed on six orbital planes which are equally spaced 60° apart in longitude and inclined to the equator at 55°. The nominal orbital period of a GPS satellite is one-half of a sidereal day or 11 hours, 58 minutes. The orbital radius (i.e., nominal distance from the center of mass of the Earth to the satellite) is approximately 26,600 km [1].

GPS Signals

The GPS signals are transmitted on two radio frequencies in the ultra-high frequency (UHF) band. The UHF band covers the frequency from 500 MHz to 3 GHz, frequencies are referred to as L1 and L2 and are derived by a common frequency of \( f_0 = 10.23 \text{ MHz} \) [3]. The GPS signals are a combination of three main parts namely the carrier frequency, navigation data and the spreading sequence namely the C/A code and P code. The navigation data is the main component that has to be actually transmitted because it contains information about the satellite orbits. Each satellite has two unique spreading sequences or codes. The first one is the coarse acquisition code (C/A). The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the civil SPS (standard positioning service) code signals. The code is repeated each millisecond (ms) giving a chipping rate of 1.023 MHz. The second is the Precise code (P-code) modulates L1 and L2 carriers in phases. In normal operation, the so-called “anti-spoofing mode”, the P-code is first encrypted into the Y-code, or P(Y). It is broadcasted at 10.23 MHz and it repeats only once a week. The P(Y) code is only available for GPS users. The components of the signal are given in Table-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1- GPS Signal Components [4]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundamental Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier L1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier L2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/A-code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigation message</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Types of Receivers

Commercial GPS receivers can be divided into four types, according to their receiving capabilities, these are: single-frequency code receivers, single-frequency carrier-smoothed code receivers, single-frequency code and carrier receivers, and dual-frequency receivers. Single-frequency receivers access the L1 frequency only, while dual-frequency receivers access both the L1 and the L2 frequencies [5].

Another distinction is related to the technical of the channels multichannel receiver, sequential receiver and multiplexing receiver. Finally a classification is possible with respect to the user community, (e.g. military receiver, civilian receiver, geodetic/ survey receiver and navigation
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receiver). The navigation receivers are the typical low-cost and the accuracy range of up to 10 m, while geodetic / survey receivers are high-cost and the accuracy less than 1cm [6].

**GPS Error Sources**

Major sources of error that affect the accuracy of stand-alone GPS receiver include ephemeris errors, atmospheric errors (including Ionosphere and Tropospheric propagation delays), satellite and receiver clock errors, Dilution of Precision (DOP), receiver noise and multipath errors. Ephemeris errors are largely diminished by differential corrections. Ionospheric errors can be remedied with dual frequency (L1/L2). Double difference information is provided for these errors. Troposphere affected the two frequencies equally. When base station and rover are close enough, satellite signals pass through almost same atmospheric conditions, so ionosphere and troposphere errors are almost identical and can be effectively cancelled with DGPS technique. Satellite clock errors are due to synchronization between satellite clock and receiver clock. These include Satellite Vehicle (SV) clock offset, clock drift and clock drift rate [7].

**Differential GPS**

DGPS is a technique that improves the solution accuracy while removing these errors. It was developed to meet the needs of positioning and distance measuring applications that required higher accuracies than stand-alone Standard Positioning Service (SPS). Major sources of error that affect the accuracy of stand-alone GPS receiver include ephemeris errors, atmospheric errors (including Ionospheric and Tropospheric propagation delays), satellite and receiver clock errors, receiver noise and multipath errors [7]. DGPS receiver utilizes information from one or more stationary base-station GPS receivers at accurately known locations, figure-1. The base-station GPS receiver calculates a position from the satellite signals [8].

![Figure-1 The basic principle of Differential GPS [8]](image)

**Real Time Kinematic (RTK) Surveying**

RTK surveying is a carrier phase based relative positioning technique that, like the previous methods [5]. In RTK-DGPS mode, one GPS receiver placed on a station is kept static (reference station) during the whole observation session, while the other receiver moves among points (rover stations) whose spatial positions are to be determined. Both reference and rover stations are equipped with dual frequency receivers. Reference receiver has a radio transmitter aimed to send phase observation corrections to rover receiver which is also equipped with radio modem to establish a link with the reference station [9].

**Polynomials**

A polynomial is a mathematical expression consisting of variables and coefficients. A coefficient is constant, which is multiplied by a variable in the expression. The variables in polynomial expressions can be raised to exponents. The highest exponent in a polynomial is given by [10].

The general equation of polynomial:
\[ Z(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_{ij} x^i y^j \]  

(1)

Where:
- \( x, y \) represent the position coordinates,
- \( a_{ij} \) symbolize the polynomial coefficients,
- \( n, m \) represent the degree of the polynomial.

For the polynomial method it is necessary to determine a polynomial that has the property to go through some data points by using different methods. This method is used to determine the general trend of the values of a polynomial function, as follows:

- **First Order Polynomial**;
  \[ Z(x, y) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 y \]  
  (2)

- **Second Order Polynomial**;
  \[ Z(x, y) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 xy + a_4 x^2 + a_5 y^2 \]  
  (3)

- **Third Order Polynomial**;
  \[ Z(x, y) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 xy + a_4 x^2 + a_5 y^2 + a_6 x^3 + a_7 xy^2 + a_8 x^2 y + a_9 y^3 \]  
  (4)

In cases of unknown coefficients \( (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \), they can be determined by utilizing the least square adjustment method.

**The Study Area**

As illustrated in Figure-2, Baghdad University Campus, which covers about \((3.4 \text{ km}^2)\), was the study area. The work was accomplished by using Real Time Kinematic-Differential Global Position System RTK-DGPS, type Topcon Hiper-II, and GPS handheld Garmin eTrex vista receiver. The study region is located at Latitude \( (33^\circ 16' 32.1'' \text{ N}) \) to \( (33^\circ 16' 2.9'' \text{ N}) \) and Longitude \( (44^\circ 22' 10.1'' \text{ E}) \) to \( (44^\circ 23' 18.5'' \text{ E}) \).

**Data Acquisition**

The Easting and Northing of 364 points were selected to perform the tests, illustrated in Figure-2. The study area have been navigated using GPS survey; i.e. Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) projection and WGS-84 systems. Figure- 2 shows the distribution of DGPS and GPS test points.

**Figure 2**- QuickBird satellite image (0.6 m) for the study area (Baghdad University Campus)
Correction Models for Navigation GPS Data

The correction models were evaluated using the polynomials transformation methods, using the MATLAB environment facilities usage. The following summarizes these models and the results.

Results for Local and Global Correction Models

The local z-coordinate represent the highest of ellipsoid for cretin region of interest, using least square method (utilizing MATLAB program) are given by the following;

- **Using 1st Order Polynomial:**
  \[ Z_1 = 34.6017225 + 0.00045934129x + 0.000508075y \] (5)

- **Using 2nd Order Polynomial:**
  \[ Z_2 = 34.8588 + 0.0003966x + 0.00083576y - 1.53 \times 10^{-6}xy - 1.24 \times 10^{-6}x^2 - 1.33 \times 10^{-6}y^2 \] (6)

- **Using 3rd Order Polynomial:**
  \[ Z_3 = 34.7305 + 0.000499x + 0.001167y - 3.25 \times 10^{-6}xy - 8.96 \times 10^{-7}y^2 + 4.82 \times 10^{-7}y^2 + 8.9 \times 10^{-9}x^2y - 2.85 \times 10^{-9}x^3 - 2.26 \times 10^{-9}y^3 \] (7)

Therefore, the global Z-coordinate is given by;

\[ Z = h_{GPS} \pm \text{error} \] (8)

In global correction model (Z) for Ellipsoid finding can be given as according to above calculations where error in equation-8 equal (6.426 m); The results of local and global corrections have been found using polynomial equations in order to correct the data.

1- The results in Figures-3and5 represent, 1st, 2nd and 3rd orders methods respectively for local correction models \((Z_1,Z_2,Z_3)\) , figure-6 represent global correction model \((Z)\), elevation points measured DGPS receiver \((h_{DGPS})\) and elevation points measured GPS navigation receiver \((h_{GPS})\) of Baghdad University Compass.

2- Figures-7and10 illustrate, respectively, residual between global correction model \((Z)\), local correction models \((Z_1, Z_2, Z_3)\) and DGPS ,GPS heights data of Baghdad University Compass.

![Figure 3](image-url)

Figure 3- Comparison among DGPS, GPS and correction model heights data using 1st order
Figure 4- Comparison among DGPS, GPS and local correction model heights data using 2nd order

Figure 5- Comparison among DGPS, GPS and local correction model heights data using 3rd order

Figure 6- Comparison among DGPS, GPS and global correction model heights data
Figure 7 - Residual between local correction model and DGPS, GPS heights data using 1\textsuperscript{st} order

Figure 8 - Residual between local correction model and DGPS, GPS heights data using 2\textsuperscript{nd} order

Figure 9 - Residual between local correction model and DGPS, GPS heights data using 3\textsuperscript{rd} order
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Accuracy Assessment

To evaluate the accuracy of the utilized polynomial methods, the comparison between three polynomial methods with the recorded DGPS values to deviation of each, Table-2 and Figure-11 represents the statistics of the height residuals between hDGPS and correction models (local and global).

Table 2- Statistics of the residuals between hDGPS and correction methods (local and global) (in m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Local correction models</th>
<th>Global correction model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st order</td>
<td>2nd order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>1.1675</td>
<td>0.8666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>-0.7839</td>
<td>-0.9218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>-2.4×10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>-2.13×10⁻¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>0.4028</td>
<td>0.3267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root mean Square</td>
<td>0.4022</td>
<td>0.3256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

This study introduced a developed model to count the noticeable differences in height accuracy for two different receivers. The purpose of the study was to reduce the errors of low cost receivers by
introducing mathematical and statistical model. The local Z-coordinate correction models gave us best results using higher order for the regions of the area study. The results showed that 3rd order mode was better than the 1st and 2nd order models. The observed root mean Square (0.2881) for the 3rd order was less than that of the 1st and 2nd orders (0.4022 & 0.3256). The results obtained in this work can be used to correct the data of GPS navigation receiver for limited size areas which are almost flat or semi-level; this means it can be used in a wide area of Iraq country.
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